

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/016,719	12/10/2001	Ralf Allner	81392LPK	4453	
7:	590 04/24/2003				
Lawrence P. Kessler			EXAMINER		
Patent Departm NexPress Solut	tions LLC		SICONOLF	SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
1447 St. Paul Street Rochester, NY 14653-7103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	i .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summany	10/016,719	ALLNER ET AL.				
' Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert A. Siconolfi	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.  S Patent and Tradement Office.						

Application/Control Number: 10/016,719

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Information Disclosure Statement filed on 8/8/02 has been received.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayne et al (U. S. Patent no. 4,326,643) in view of Joffe (U. S. Patent no. 5,331,861).

Bayne the al is the English equivalent of EP-B1-0024944 which is discussed on page one of the specification. Therefore, the examiner will not discuss in detail what the applicant has admitted. Bayne et al does not disclose the use of at least 3 rotatable threaded spindles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any number of spindles in the device of Bayne et al as such is merely a design choice. The use of multiple spindle drives is a duplication of parts which is patentable only if unexpected results are discovered. Please see MPEP 2144.04 and In Re Harza 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960). Furthermore, the use of multiple spindle drives means that each individual spindle can be lighter and smaller since it is not supporting as large a load.

Bayne et al also does not disclose the use of ball bearing to reduce the friction due to the lateral movements of the spindle. Joffe teaches the use of ball bearings in the

Application/Control Number: 10/016,719

Art Unit: 3683

spindle drive for allowing lateral displacement. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use ball bearings as taught

by Joffe in the device of Bayne et al in order to allow for better operation of the device.

The use of ball bearings will extend the life due to the reduced wear. They will also

prevent jamming that may occur due to the increased forces that would be needed to

move the nut laterally.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is (703)

305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687

for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

Robert A. Siconolfi

Examiner

Art Unit 3683

RS

April 20, 2003

ROBERT A. SICONOLF

PATENT EXAMINER

a Sicorolf 4/20/03

Page 3